2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 7. LSC admits that it operates a facility in Vernon, California and a second in Pico Rivera, California.
- 8. LSC admits that, under the definition provided in Section 329(7), 42 U.S.C. § 11049(7) of EPCRA, it qualifies as a "person."
- 9. LSC admits that, at times relevant to the Complaint, it was an owner and operator of a "facility," as that term is defined by Section 329(4) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11049(4), and 40 C.F.R. § 372.3.
- 10. LSC admits that, at times relevant to the Complaint, it employed more than 10 "full-time employees," as that term is defined at 40 C.F.R. § 372.3, at the Vernon Facility.
- 11. LSC admits that the Vernon Facility processes zinc compounds to produce lubricants and greases for distribution into commerce.
- LSC admits that the Vernon Facility is classified in Standard Industrial 12. Classification code 2992, which falls under Standard Industrial Classification major group code 29.
- 13. LSC admits that, at times relevant to the Complaint, it employed more than 10 "full-time employees," as that term is defined at 40 C.F.R. § 372.3 at the Pico Rivera Facility.
- 14. LSC admits that the Pico Rivera Facility processes zinc compounds to produce lubricants and greases for distribution into commerce.
- 15. LSC admits that the Pico Rivera Facility is classified in Standard Industrial Classification code 2992, which falls under Standard Industrial Classification major group code 29.
- 16. LSC admits that zinc compounds is a chemical listed under 40 C.F.R. § 372.65, EPA Chemical Category No. N982.

Counts 1-3

17. LSC incorporates by reference its answers from paragraphs 1 through 16.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 18. LSC admits that during calendar year 2005, the Vernon Facility processed approximately 41,708 pounds of zinc compounds.
- 19. LSC admits that during calendar year 2006, the Vernon Facility processed approximately 92,487 pounds of zinc compounds.
- 20. LSC admits that during calendar year 2007, the Vernon Facility processed approximately 140,168 pounds of zinc compounds.
- 21. LSC admits that the quantity of zinc compounds processed in calendar years 2005, 2006 and 2007 at the Vernon Facility exceeded the established threshold of 25,000 pounds set forth at 40 C.F.R. § 372.25(b).
- 22. LSC admits that it was required to submit a Form R for zinc compounds processed during calendar years 2005, 2006 and 2007 at the Vernon Facility to the EPA Administrator and to the State of California on or before July 1st, 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively.
- 23. LSC only admits that it did not submit a Form R for zinc compounds processed during calendar years 2005, 2006 and 2007 at the Vernon Facility to the EPA Administrator and to the State of California on or before July 1, 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively. LSC avers that it did, however, immediately submit the Form Rs for calendar years 2005, 2006 and 2007 at the Vernon Facility to an EPA inspector on April 16, 2009, then filed them with the EPA on July 14, 2009.
- 24. LSC admits that its failure to submit a timely Form R for zinc compounds processed at the Vernon Facility during calendar years 2005, 2006 and 2007 constitutes three violations of Section 313 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023, and 40 C.F.R. Part 372.

Counts 4-6

- 25. LSC incorporates by reference its answers from paragraphs 1 through 16.
- 26. LSC admits that during calendar year 2005, the Pico Rivera Facility processed approximately 962,595 pounds of zinc compounds.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 27. LSC admits that during calendar year 2006, the Pico Rivera Facility processed approximately 838,556 pounds of zinc compounds.
- 28. LSC admits that during calendar year 2007, the Pico Rivera Facility processed approximately 477,170 pounds of zinc compounds.
- 29. LSC admits that the quantity of zinc compounds processed in calendar years 2005, 2006 and 2007 at the Pico Rivera Facility exceeded the established threshold of 25,000 pounds set forth at 40 C.F.R. § 372.25(b).
- 30. LSC admits that it was required to submit a Form R for zinc compounds processed during calendar years 2005, 2006 and 2007 at the Pico Rivera Facility to the EPA Administrator and to the State of California on or before July 1st, 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively.
- 31. LSC only admits that it did not submit a Form R for zinc compounds processed during calendar years 2005, 2006 and 2007 at the Pico Rivera Facility to the EPA Administrator and to the State of California on or before July 1, 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively. LSC avers that it did, however, immediately submit the Form Rs for calendar years 2005, 2006 and 2007 at the Vernon Facility to EPA's inspector on April 16, 2009, then filed them with the EPA on July 14, 2009.
- 32. LSC admits that its failure to submit a timely Form R for zinc compounds processed at the Pico Rivera Facility during calendar years 2005, 2006 and 2007 constitutes three violations of Section 313 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023, and 40 C.F.R. Part 372.

Objection to Proposed Civil Penalty

While LSC admits that Section 313 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11045(c) authorizes the EPA to assess a penalty of up to \$32,500 for each of the six violations, LSC seeks a penalty consistent with the statute's penalty factors (see 42 U.S.C. § 11045(b)(1)(C)) as applied to this case. Specifically, (1) the circumstances of the violation were such that LSC relied on incorrect information provided by the California Department of Toxic Substance Control,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

27

which caused it not to file for the years at issue; (2) the gravity of the violation is low; (3) LSC is a relatively small company (only 172 total employees) and a penalty will have an adverse economic impact on LSC; (4) LSC has no previous violations; (5) LSC has a low level of culpability, as it believed that it was compliant; (6) LSC realized no economic benefit or savings from the violations; (7) upon discovery of the mistakes, LSC immediately and voluntarily disclosed them to the EPA; (8) upon discovery of the mistakes, LSC took swift and immediate remedial action; (9) LSC has cooperated fully with the EPA during its investigation; (10) LSC has hired a new regulatory compliance expert, with over 18 years of experience, to ensure that its facilities are environmentally compliant; and (11) LSC has offered to implement two Supplemental Environmental Projects at a cost of \$120,000.

Request for Hearing

LSC formally requests a hearing.

DATED this 19th day of January, 2010.

BRYAN CAVE LLP

By s/Michael C. Ford

Michael C. Ford

Two N. Central Avenue, Suite 2200 Phoenix, AZ 85004-4406

ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this 19th day of January, 2010, with:

Regional Hearing Clerk

USEPA, Region IX

75 Hawthorne Street

San Francisco, CA 94105

24 COPY of the foregoing mailed this

19th day of January, 2010, to: 25

26 Ivan Lieben

Assistant Regional Counsel

Office of Regional Counsel (ORC-2)

USEPA, Region IX 28

TWO NORTH CENTRAL AVENUE, SUITE 2200 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85004-4406 (602) 364-7000

75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the original and foregoing Answer and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing, Docket Number EPCRA-09-2009-0016, was filed today with the Regional Hearing Clerk, U.S. EPA, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105, and that a true and correct copy were placed in the United States Mail, certified mail, return receipt requested, addressed to the following:

Ivan Lieben Assistant Regional Counsel Office of Regional Counsel (ORC-2) USEPA, Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

Dated: January 19, 2010

s/Donna McGinnis

Joron Mitemio